*Mr. Counter Arguments wrote:*

*When I said "indulge in my content before you become critical of it", I was not referring to a specific video.*

So what were you referring to?

*You emailed me (and a lot of other random people),*

The people weren't chosen at random. Your assumption fails.

*critical of what you thought was my position.*

My position was based on your "Stephen Hawking's erection" video, which implied that because Hawking can get an erection ... gay people are born gay (probably one of the most embarrassing videos ever posted in YouTube history).

*Because you haven't kept up with my videos, you got my position completely backwards.*

I'm glad to hear that you corrected your earlier scientifically-unsupported position that gays are "born that way."

As for "keeping up" with your videos, that is something I have neither the time nor the inclination to do. You sent me one video and I watched it. That was the video I responded to, and as you can scroll down and verify, I did not criticize it, but complimented it.

So far you are batting .000 ... you haven't gotten one right yet.

*My content is the only means for you to know what my positions are*

I was only interested, and commented upon, the one video that you sent me. What makes you think anyone would watch all of your videos every time you send them a link to just one of them?

That makes no logical sense at all.

Now I get it. You replied to my email immediately, without thinking, accusing me of criticizing your video, when in fact, I had complimented it (probably because of the brutal beating I gave you over your ridiculous Hawking Erection video). Your butt is still burnin' over having to change your position and admit that you were wrong. So in a desperate attempt to cover up your mistake of wrongly accusing me of criticizing your video, you now take the absurd position that I should have watched every one of your videos before replying to the video you sent me.

As just demonstrated, primitive minds like yours are very easy to analyze. It involves nothing more complex than psychology 201 (abnormal child psychology).

*and my content is what you have been critical of in the past.*

"Critical" would be an understatement. "Hawking's erection" was a humiliation for you, your family, your friends, anyone who has ever known you ... and your pets.

*So when I say "indulge in my content before you become critical of it", I'm giving you a general piece of advice so that you don't make this silly mistake again.*

"Silly" is thinking that everyone who contacts you must view all of your videos every time they reply, to figure out which of your previous misconceptions you have finally cleared up.

*I don't think emailing dozens of people at the same time is a wise thing to do, Neo.*

Then you probably shouldn't do it.

And where did you get the idea that 8 was "dozens?"

It's not even one dozen.

*You sent this email out to multiple people under the impression that they were all on the same page on this particular issue. Look what happened.*

All you had to do was reply that you had corrected your faulty position. Simple solution ... but one that obviously eluded you.

But drawing on psychology again, it's most likely that you simply couldn't bring yourself to admit that you had been wr ... wro ... wron ... wrong.

*Personally, I think it's better to address people individually- especially through email.*

Then that is what you should do.

But you may find that method inefficient when trying to reach ... groups of people.

*I, for one, am not impressed with being tossed in these emails with fifty-five other people (who I don't know)*

I didn't send it to you to impress you.

What is impressive however, is how much you felt you needed to exaggerate the size of the email list in order to give your complaint validity: if you bring up the original email you'll see that your "55 other people" ... were actually 7.

These 7 to be precise:

friendlyatheist@friendlyatheist.com,

mollusk@bibble.org,

pzmyers@gmail.com,

rorschach@live.com.au,

lgbtliberation@aol.com,

mail@savagelove.net,

iamjezabelle@gmail.com

(Sometimes you people make this waaaaaay too easy)

*to read an unnecessary rebuttal from someone I don't know either.*

Let's keep it that way. Having suffered through your emotional, hysterical, childish overreactions, and illogical thinking, on multiple occasions, convinces me that I would rather be forced to have lunch with Donald Trump ... than with you.

*It's classic spam. And if you do it again, it'll be reported as spam- none of your emails will get through to me at all.*

If my emails are too rough for you to handle, then all you have to do is put me in your spam filter or ask not to be recontacted - it really is that simple. You are the only one on the email list who chose to respond so you have only yourself to blame for the mauling and humiliation you have just received.

*Happy New Year*

*-C.A.*

It will be, if you discover a new hobby. Something more in keeping with your talents; like ... video gaming.

neo

(By the way, since I felt embarrassed for you when you exaggerated my email list by 48, I added a couple of dozen new recipients just so you wouldn't be so far off.

Nah, don't thank me, I try to help out the less mentally-endowed whenever possible)

PS

Question: Did you really think you would do any better this time, than when you got thrashed around before ... like a gazelle in the jaws of a lion?

Well ... obviously you were hoping so.